



Mathematical Properties of Inverse Sum Index Eccentric Coindices of Graphs

M. R. Farahani^a, K. Pattabiraman^b, S. Sudharsan^c, S. V. Patil^d, M. Alaeiyan^{a,*}, M. Cancan^e

^aSchool of Mathematics and Computer Science, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Narmak, Tehran, 16844, Iran

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government Arts College Kumbakonam 612 002, India

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Annamalai University Annamalainagar 608 002, India

^dDepartment of Mathematics, KLE Dr. M. S. Sheshgiri College of Engineering and Technology, Belagavi, Karnataka 590001, India

^eDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Yuzuncu Yil University, Van Turkey 65090, Turkey

Abstract

Essential and widely studied topological indices, including the well-known Zagreb indices (M_1 and M_2), and the newly proposed Inverse Sum Indeg Eccentricity Index (ξ_{ISI}), To ensure the contributions of all edges within a graph are effectively considered. By emphasizing on the total eccentricity of non-adjacent vertices, Hua et al. introduced the eccentric connectivity coindex (ξ^c). Inspired by their contributions, we introduce the inverse sum indeg eccentric coindex ($\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$), which is defined as the ratio of the product of the eccentricities to the sum of the eccentricities for all isolated pair of vertex in a connected graph. This study primarily aims to establish various bounds for $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ in finite simple graphs and derives the values of the proposed indices for two specific graph constructions. Additionally, we present a comprehensive set of relationships for $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ using several graph products.

Keywords: Topological index, Eccentricity of a vertex, Graph products

1. Introduction

A graph invariant, often referred to as a topological index, is a numerical parameter obtained directly from the structural properties of a molecule graph. These indices are widely used in theoretical chemistry to model various molecular properties, including physico-chemical, biological, and pharmaceutical characteristics. Numerous graph invariants linked to the graph-theoretic notion of eccentricity has been previously proposed also utilized in QSAR/QSPR studies. Many of these indices have proven to be effective tools for predicting pharmaceutical properties.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: mrfarahani88@gmail.com (M. R. Farahani), pramank@gmail.com (K. Pattabiraman), sudharsanmaths1999@gmail.com (S. Sudharsan), shobhap49@gmail.com (S. V. Patil), alaeiyan@iust.ac.ir (M. Alaeiyan), m_cancan@yyu.edu.tr, m_cencen@yahoo.com (M. Cancan)

In this study, we concentrate on the properties of simple connected graphs. Consider such a graph be $\tilde{\Lambda}$, the set of vertices and edges, are denoted by $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ correspondingly. The graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ has n vertices and m edges. An edge connecting two vertices x and y is denoted as xy . The complement of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, denoted by $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$, is a graph with the same vertex set $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, where two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in $\tilde{\Lambda}$. The degree of a vertex x , denoted by $d(x)$, is the number of vertices directly connected to x in $\tilde{\Lambda}$. The first and second Zagreb indices are defined as

$$M_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x)^2 = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (d(x) + d(y))$$

$$M_2(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x)d(y)$$

such a topological indices were first introduced in [11, 12]. For detailed information on their properties and additional references, see [7, 9, 13, 6, 14, 23, 31, 33, 34]. For historical context, refer to [10].

In 2008, Doslic introduced a pair of Zagreb coindices [8], building on the earlier definitions.

$$\overline{M}_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (d(x) + d(y)) \text{ and } \overline{M}_2(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x)d(y)$$

Recently studied some detail by the Zagreb coindices in [1, 2, 17, 18, 19].

The distance between the two vertices such as x and y in graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is denoted as

$$d_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(x, y)$$

The eccentricity ϵ_x of $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ is defined as

$$\epsilon_x = \max\{d_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})\}.$$

In all the vertices of graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ the diameter and radius is notated as $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and $r(\tilde{\Lambda})$ correspondingly. If $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = r(\tilde{\Lambda})$, then $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centred graph denoted as $s - c$ graph. A vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ with $d(x) = n - 1$ is known as a universal vertex.

In 1997, Sharma et al. [28] recommended the eccentric connectivity index of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as

$$\xi^c(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x)\epsilon_x = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x + \epsilon_y$$

The invariants $\tau(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and $\xi^2(\tilde{\Lambda})$ defined as

$$\tau(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x \text{ and}$$

$$\xi^2(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x) \epsilon_x^2 = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (\epsilon_x^2 + \epsilon_y^2)$$

are respectively called the total eccentricity and second eccentric connectivity index [3] of $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

The first and second Zagreb eccentricity indices [29, 30] of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ are respectively, defined as

$$\xi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^2 \text{ and } \xi_{M_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x \epsilon_y.$$

In 2019, Hua and Miao [20] proposed the eccentric connectivity coindex of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as

$$\overline{\xi}^c(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \overline{\xi}_{M_1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y).$$

In 2021, Mahdieh Azari [22] proposed the second Zagreb eccentricity coindex of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as

$$\overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \overline{E_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x \epsilon_y$$

The Harmonic eccentric index and its coindex [12] of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ are respectively, defined as

$$\xi_H(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y}.$$

The status or transmission [16] of a vertex x in the graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is defined as

$$D_x = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(x, y)$$

The status connectivity indices first and second of a graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$, introduced by Ramane et al.[26] are respectively, defined as

$$S_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (D_x + D_y) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x D_y$$

In 2018, Ramane et al. introduced the concepts of the first and second status connectivity coindices [27], which are defined respectively as follows:

$$\overline{S_1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (D_x + D_y) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{S_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x D_y$$

Ease way of recognize ISI and \overline{ISI} are just the first representation of a class of topological indices and coindex of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 ISI_{general}(\tilde{\Lambda}) &= \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{Q_x Q_y}{Q_x + Q_y} \\
 \text{and } \overline{ISI}_{general}(\tilde{\Lambda}) &= \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{Q_x Q_y}{Q_x + Q_y}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

respectively, Here, Q_x represents a specific quantity that can be uniquely and effectively associated with the vertex x of the graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

In this study, we turn our focus to another member of this class, denoted by \overline{ISI}_3 , which can provisionally be referred to as the third inverse sum indeg coindex. This index is formulated in such a way that it is associated with ξ^c and $\overline{\xi_{M_2}}$.

Based on the general formula (1.1), we define the third ISI and \overline{ISI} indices as:

$$\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = ISI_3(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \overline{ISI}_3(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y}, \quad \text{respectively}$$

Hua and Miao [20] explored several extremal problems concerning the eccentric connectivity coindex and established various lower bounds for this invariant based on distinct graph parameters. Hayat [15] derived precise lower bounds for the second Zagreb eccentricity index in the context of n -vertex cacti graphs. Azari [4] examined the eccentric connectivity coindex’s properties for different graph products. Additionally, numerous bounds on the eccentric connectivity coindex, expressed in terms of existing invariants, as well as its values for specific graph constructions, were discussed in [5].

The following concepts are included in the paper:

In Section 2, derive significant bounds for $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ across various classes of graphs, offering a deeper understanding of the range and behavior of this coindex within different graph structures. Section 4 investigates how $\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ interacts with graph

2. Bounds on $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$

The $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ with $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ is set of all vertices is the complement of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ and any two vertices of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. The number of edges of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is denoted by \overline{m} , that is

$$\overline{m} = \frac{|\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})| \cdot (|\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})| - 1)}{2} - |\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})|.$$

In this section, we establish some new relation a $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ index and other graph parameters.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be a graph on n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$\frac{\overline{m}r(\widetilde{\Lambda})^2}{2\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \leq \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{\overline{m}\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})^2}{2r(\widetilde{\Lambda})}. \tag{2.1}$$

The equality on the left-hand side of (2.1) is satisfied if and only if $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered, while the equality on the right-hand side holds if and only if $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered or $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 2$.

Proof. Note that for each $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \leq \epsilon_x \leq \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. Therefore,

$$\overline{m} \left(\frac{r(\widetilde{\Lambda})^2}{2\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \right) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \frac{r(\widetilde{\Lambda})r(\widetilde{\Lambda})}{\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y} \leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})}{r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) + r(\widetilde{\Lambda})} = \overline{m} \left(\frac{\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})^2}{2r(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \right).$$

The equality on the left-hand side of (2.1) holds if and only if, for every pair of vertices $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. If $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered, then the left-hand side equality in (2.1) is trivially satisfied. Now, assume that the left-hand side equality holds in (2.1). If $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n$, then $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a self-centered graph. Let $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n$, and consider $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ such that $\epsilon_x = \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \geq 2$. Then there exists a vertex $y \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ with $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. Consequently, $\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = r(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, which implies that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a self-centered graph.

Similarly, the equality on the right-hand side of (2.1) holds if and only if, for every pair of vertices $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. If $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered or satisfies $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 2$, then the right-hand side equality in (2.1) holds trivially. Let us assume the right-hand side equality holds in (2.1). If $\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 1$, then $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n$, which is self-centered. If $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 2$, there is nothing further to prove. Let $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \geq 2$. Consider $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ such that $\epsilon_x = r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \geq 2$. Then there exists a vertex $y \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ such that $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. Hence, $r(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = \rho(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, which confirms that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a self-centered graph. \square

Lemma 2.2. [21] *For a vertex x in a connected graph $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ with n vertices, $\epsilon_x \leq n - d(x)$ with equality if and only if $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong P_4$ or $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n - iK_2$, $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. The graph $K_n - iK_2$ is obtained from K_n by removing i independent edges.*

Theorem 2.3. *For a graph $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ with n vertices,*

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{1}{2r(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{n^2(n(n-1) - 2m)}{2} - n\overline{M}_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}) + \overline{M}_2(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

with equality if and only if $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong P_4$ (or) $\widetilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n - iK_2$, $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$

Proof. By the Definition of $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) &\leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \frac{(n - d(x))(n - d(y))}{2r(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \\ &= \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \frac{n^2 - n(d(x) + d(y)) + d(x)d(y)}{2r(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{n^2}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m \right) - \frac{n}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \overline{M_1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\overline{M_2}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{n^2(n(n-1) - 2m)}{2} - n \overline{M_1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \overline{M_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality in (2.2) holds if and only if, for any edge $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = n - d(x)$ and $\epsilon_y = n - d(y)$. This implies that for each non-trivial vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = n - d(x)$. The equality $\epsilon_x = n - d(x)$ also holds for any universal vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Therefore, $\epsilon_x = n - d(x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})$. According to Lemma 2.2, the equality in (2.2) holds if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda} \cong P_4$ or $\tilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n - iK_2$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. \square

The proof of this lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.4. For a (n, m) -graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$

$$\overline{M_1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2m(n-1) - M_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) \text{ and } \overline{M_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2m^2 - \frac{M_1(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} - M_2(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

By using Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.5. For a (n, m) -graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{1}{4r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[n(n-1)(n^2 - 4m) + 2m(2m - n^2) + M_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) - 2M_2(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right].$$

Theorem 2.6. For a graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ with n vertices,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{\overline{S_2}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(n-1)^2}$$

with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n$.

Proof. For every vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $D_x = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda}) \setminus \{y\}} d(x, y) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda}) \setminus \{y\}} \epsilon_{xy} = (n-1)\epsilon_y$, with equality if and only if for every $y \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda}) \setminus \{x\}$, $d(x, y) = \epsilon_y$, that is $\epsilon_y = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) &\geq \sum_{xy \in \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\binom{D_x}{n-1} \binom{D_y}{n-1}}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(n-1)^2} \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x D_y \\
 &= \frac{\overline{S_2}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(n-1)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda} \cong K_n$. \square

Lemma 2.7. For a graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ with n vertices,

$$\overline{S_2}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2W(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x^2 - S_2(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

By using Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, we obtain the following result in terms of Wiener index and the second status connectivity index.

Corollary 2.8. For a (n, m) -graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{2W(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x^2 - S_2(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(n-1)^2} = \frac{1}{4\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(n-1)^2} \left[4W(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} D_x^2 - 2S_2(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right]$$

Theorem 2.9. For a (n, m) -graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left((n-1)\xi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \xi^2(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right). \tag{2.4}$$

with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is $s-c$ graph.

Proof. One can easily verify that for any edge $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x^2 + \epsilon_y^2 \geq 2\epsilon_x\epsilon_y$ with equality if and only if $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$. Using this fact, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) &\leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2\epsilon_x\epsilon_y}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{(\epsilon_x^2 + \epsilon_y^2)}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{(n-1-d(x))}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{n-1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \right) \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^2 - \frac{1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} d(x)\epsilon_x^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{n-1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \right) \xi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \frac{1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \xi^2(\tilde{\Lambda}). \\ &= \frac{1}{2r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[(n-1)\xi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \xi^2(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The equality in Equation (2.4) holds if and only if, for every pair of vertices $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$. It is straightforward to verify that the equality in Equation (2.4) holds if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered or $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2$. □

The product version of eccentricity based topological indices are defined as

$$\xi_1^*(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^2 \text{ and } \xi_2^*(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{V}e(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^{d(x)}.$$

Theorem 2.10. For a (n, m) -graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{\bar{m}}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\frac{\xi_1^*(\tilde{\Lambda})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\xi_2^*(\tilde{\Lambda})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{m}}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\bar{m} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m$ holds with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered or $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2$.

Proof. By arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\frac{\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{\bar{m}} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x\epsilon_y}{\bar{m}}. \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{\bar{m}} \geq \frac{1}{2\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\prod_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x\epsilon_y \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{m}}}.$$

For every vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, the factor ϵ_x appears $(n - 1 - d(x))$ times in $\prod_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x \epsilon_y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\overline{\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})}}{\overline{m}} &\geq \frac{1}{2 \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\prod_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^{(n-1-d(x))} \right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{m}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\frac{\prod_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (\epsilon_x^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\prod_{x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_x^{d(x)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{m}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\frac{(\xi_1^*(\tilde{\Lambda}))^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\xi_2^*(\tilde{\Lambda})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \geq \frac{\overline{m}}{2 \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left(\frac{(\xi_1^*(\tilde{\Lambda}))^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\xi_2^*(\tilde{\Lambda})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{m}}}.$$

The equality holds if and only if, for each edge $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x \epsilon_y$ is constant. If $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a self-centered graph or $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2$, then the equality in (2.5) holds trivially. Suppose that the equality holds in (2.5), and $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq 2$ with $\tilde{\Lambda}$ being non-self-centered. Since $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq 2$, there exist vertices $s, t \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ such that $st \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and $\epsilon_s = r(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Given that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is non-complete, there also exist vertices $a, b \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ such that $ab \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Because $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is non-self-centered, we have $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) < \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$. This leads to $\epsilon_s \epsilon_t = r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \epsilon_t < \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 = \epsilon_a \epsilon_b$, which results in a contradiction. Therefore, equality in (2.5) holds if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered or $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 1$ and $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2$. \square

Theorem 2.11. For a connected graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$, $\overline{\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \leq \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{4 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \overline{\xi^c(\tilde{\Lambda})}$ equality holds if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is $s - c$ graph.

Proof. For a vertex $x \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x \leq \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})} &\leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y} \sqrt{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}}{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \\ &\leq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\sqrt{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})^2} \sqrt{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}}{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \\ &\leq \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y}{2} \\ &= \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{4 r(\tilde{\Lambda})} \overline{\xi^c(\tilde{\Lambda})} \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if, for every pair of vertices $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Thus, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is self-centered. \square

Theorem 2.12. For any graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ with n vertices and m edges,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \geq \frac{n(n - 1) - 2m}{2} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. One can see that for any $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x^{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \epsilon_y^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \geq 2$. Hence, by the definition of $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_x \epsilon_y}{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y} \geq \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2(2)}{2+2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m.$$

The equality occurs in (2.7) if and only if for any $xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = 2$, which is equivalent to $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq 2$. The following results is immediately from Theorem 2.12. □

Corollary 2.13. *For any connected unicyclic graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$ with $n \geq 6$ vertices, $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{n(n-3)}{2}$ with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is obtained from S_n by joining two of its pendent vertices with an edge.*

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be (n, m) -graph. If $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ is a connected then complement of $\tilde{\Lambda}$,*

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \tag{2.8}$$

with equality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ are $s - c$ with $r(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \rho(\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}) = 2$.

Proof. Since $|\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})| = m$. By Theorem 2.12, we get

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + m = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

The equality in (2.8) holds if and only if $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}), \rho(\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}) \leq 2$. Since both $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ are connected and contain no universal vertices, the equality in (2.8) occurs if and only if both $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ are self-centered graphs. □

3. Inequalities between $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ and $\overline{\xi_H}$.

In this section, we establish attractive relation between $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ and $\overline{\xi_H}$ of graph.

Theorem 3.1. *For a (n, m) -connected graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$, then*

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{(r(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2}{2} \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{r(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} (n(n-1) - 2m) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{(\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2}{2} \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} (n(n-1) - 2m) \tag{3.2}$$

Equality holds in (3.1) if and only if each case of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is incident to atleast one vertex of eccentricity $r(\tilde{\Lambda})$ and holds in (3.2) if and only if each edge of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ incident to atleast one vertex of eccentricity $\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})$.

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be the vertices of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and let $(\epsilon_{x_1}, \epsilon_{x_2}, \dots, \epsilon_{x_n})$ be the sequence of vertex eccentricities of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ satisfying

$$\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 \dots \geq \epsilon_n = r(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

One can see that the following relations holds for any i and j with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$;

$$(\epsilon_{x_i} - r(\tilde{\Lambda}))(\epsilon_{x_j} - r(\tilde{\Lambda})) \geq 0 \text{ and } (\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \epsilon_{x_i})(\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \epsilon_{x_j}) \geq 0$$

That is,

$$\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j} + (r(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2 \geq r(\tilde{\Lambda})(\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j} + (\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2 \geq \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})(\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}) \tag{3.4}$$

Multiplying by $\frac{1}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}}$ an both the equation 3.3 and 3.4, we have

$$\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \frac{r(\tilde{\Lambda})^2}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \geq r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})^2}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \geq \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \tag{3.6}$$

The summation of (3.5) and (3.6) over all pairs of nm -adjacent vertices x_i and x_j in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \frac{(r(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2}{2} \sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \geq r(\tilde{\Lambda})\overline{m}$$

and

$$\sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \frac{(\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}))^2}{2} \sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \geq \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})\overline{m}$$

Hence,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{r(\tilde{\Lambda})^2}{2} \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{r(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} (n(n-1) - 2m)$$

and

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})^2}{2} \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \geq \frac{\rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} (n(n-1) - 2m)$$

□

Theorem 3.2. For a (n, m) -connected graph $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$2\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} (n(n-1) - m). \tag{3.7}$$

with inequality if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is $s - c$.

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be the vertices of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and let $(\epsilon_{x_1}, \epsilon_{x_2}, \dots, \epsilon_{x_n})$ be the sequence of vertex eccentricities of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ satisfying

$$\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 \dots \geq \epsilon_n = r(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

One can see that the following relations holds for any i and j with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$;

$$(\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \epsilon_{x_i}) (\epsilon_{x_j} - r(\tilde{\Lambda})) \geq 0$$

and

$$(\epsilon_{x_i} - r(\tilde{\Lambda})) (\rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) - \epsilon_{x_j}) \geq 0$$

This implies that

$$\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j} + r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq r(\tilde{\Lambda})\epsilon_{x_i} + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})\epsilon_{x_j} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j} + r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq r(\tilde{\Lambda})\epsilon_{x_j} + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})\epsilon_{x_i} \tag{3.9}$$

By summing up the Equations in (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$2\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j} + 2 r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq (r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}))(\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}) \tag{3.10}$$

Divided by $(\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j})$ on both sides on (3.10), we have

$$2 \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \frac{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \leq r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

Taking summation over all pairs of non-adjacent pair of vertices x_i and x_j in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, we have

$$2 \sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}\epsilon_{x_j}}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} + \sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{2 r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})}{\epsilon_{x_i} + \epsilon_{x_j}} \leq \sum_{x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda})).$$

$$\text{Hence, } 2\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + r(\tilde{\Lambda}) \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}) \overline{\xi_H}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq \frac{(r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \rho(\tilde{\Lambda}))}{2} (n(n - 1) - m).$$

□

4. Some Graph Constructions

In this section, we calculate the inverse sum indeg eccentric coindices for various graph constructions. These graph models are crucial for understanding how the $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ behaves in graphs with increased complexity and symmetry.

4.1. Double graph

Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be a graph with the vertex set $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Consider two sets of vertices, $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, preserving the original edge set of each version and adding the edges $x_i y_j$ and $x_j y_i$ for every edge $v_i v_j \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})$. The result is a new graph, $\tilde{\Lambda}^*$, known as the double graph of $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

Theorem 4.1. For a double graph $\tilde{\Lambda}^*$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}^*) = 4\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\tau(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2}.$$

Proof. From the definition of double graph $\tilde{\Lambda}^*$, we have

$$\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}(x_i) = \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}(y_i) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(v_i) = 1, \\ \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(v_i) & \text{if } \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(v_i) \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}^*) &= \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda}^*)} \frac{\epsilon_x^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_y^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_x^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_y^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} + \frac{\epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} + \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} + \frac{\epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}}{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*} + \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}} \\ &= 4 \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}}{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}})^2}{2\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}} \\ &= 4\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \\ &= 4 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \tau(\tilde{\Lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Extended double graph

Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be a graph with $\mathfrak{V}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Consider a bipartite graph $\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}$ with bipartition (X, Y) where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. In this graph, an edge x_i is adjacent to y_j if and only if $i = j$ or $v_i v_j \in \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})$. This graph is known as the extended double graph of $\tilde{\Lambda}$. It is clear from the above definition that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}(y_i) = \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(v_i) + 1.$$

Theorem 4.2. For a extended double graph $\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \bar{\xi}_H(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} \right] + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 4m \\ &+ \frac{1}{r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + 1} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tau(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - E_1(\tilde{\Lambda})) + (n-1)\tau(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}) &= \sum_{xy \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda}^{**})} \frac{\epsilon_x^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} \epsilon_y^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}}{\epsilon_x^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} + \epsilon_y^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}} \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}}{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} + \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}} + \frac{\epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}}{\epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} + \epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}} \right] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} \epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}}{\epsilon_{x_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} + \epsilon_{x_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}} + \frac{\epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}}{\epsilon_{y_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}} + \epsilon_{y_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}}} \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \frac{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)(\epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)}{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1 + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)(\epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)}{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1 + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1} \\ &= A_1 + A_2, \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$A_1 = 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + (\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}) + 2}{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}) + 2} \right].$$

By Jensen’s inequality, we obtain $\frac{1}{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 2} \leq \frac{1}{4(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}})} + \frac{1}{8}$ with equality if and only if $\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} = 2$, for every $n - a$ (non-adjacent) pair of vertices $v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i v_j \notin \mathfrak{E}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \left[\frac{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + (\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}) + 2}{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}})} + \frac{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + (\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}) + 2}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \bar{m} + \bar{\xi}_H(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + \bar{m} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \bar{\xi}_H(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + n(n-1) - 2m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2 &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)(\epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 1)}{\epsilon_{v_i}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + \epsilon_{v_j}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + 2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + 1} \left[\frac{(\tau(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - E_1(\tilde{\Lambda}))}{2} + (n - 1)\tau(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \binom{n}{2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}^{**}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \bar{\xi}_H(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} + \frac{\overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}{2} \right] + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} - 4m \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r(\tilde{\Lambda}) + 1} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tau(\tilde{\Lambda})^2 - E_1(\tilde{\Lambda})) + (n - 1)\tau(\tilde{\Lambda}) + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. Generalized hierarchical product

Let $\phi \neq \mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1)$. The generalized hierarchical product $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ of graphs $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$ is a graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1) \times \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2)$. Two vertices (u_1, u_2) and (v_1, v_2) are adjacent if and only if either $[u_1 = v_1 \in \mathbb{Y} \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2)]$ or $[u_2 = v_2 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2) \text{ and } u_1v_1 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1)]$.

For $\phi \neq \mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, a path between vertices $u, v \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ through \mathbb{Y} is a uv -path in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ containing some vertex $z \in \mathbb{Y}$ (where z could be either u or v). The distance between u and v through \mathbb{Y} , denoted by $d_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u, v)$, is the length of any shortest path between u and v that belongs to \mathbb{Y} . Thus, $d_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u, v) = d_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(u, v)$. For $u \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, we define $\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u) = \max_{v \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} d_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u, v)$. The following invariants related to \mathbb{Y} are defined for the convenience.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(\mathbb{Y}) &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Y}} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Y}} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(u); \\
 E_1(\mathbb{Y}) &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Y}} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u)^2 = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Y}} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(u)^2; \\
 \tau(\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})) &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u); \\
 E_1(\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})) &= \sum_{u \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u)^2; \\
 \bar{\xi}^c(\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})) &= \sum_{uv \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} (\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u) + \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(v)); \\
 \bar{E}_2(\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})) &= \sum_{uv \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda})} \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(u)\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Y})}(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

The eccentricity of a vertex (u_1, u_2) in $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ as follows.

$$\epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcup \tilde{\Lambda}_2}(u_1, u_2) = \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})}(u_1) + \epsilon_{\tilde{\Lambda}_2}(u_2).$$

$\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \sqcap \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ is the cartesian product of graphs $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$ is a graph with set of all vertices $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1) \times \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2)$. Two vertices (u_1, u_2) and (v_1, v_2) are adjacent if and only if either $[u_1 = v_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1) \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2)]$ or $[u_2 = v_2 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Lambda}_2) \text{ and } u_1v_1 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\tilde{\Lambda}_1)]$.

Theorem 4.3. For a graph $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(u) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) &\leq \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left(\overline{m}_2 \xi(\mathbb{Y}) + \overline{\xi}_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \tau(\mathbb{Y}) + |\mathbb{Y}| \overline{\xi}_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\overline{m}_2 \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi}_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + n_2 \overline{\xi}_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left[\binom{n_2}{2} (\xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) - \xi_1(\mathbb{Y})) + (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) - \tau(\mathbb{Y}))(n_2 - 1) \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - |\mathbb{Y}|)(\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\binom{n_2}{2} (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}))^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}))) + \binom{n_1}{2} (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) + (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$, we obtain

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) = \sum_{(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{(x_2, y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{(x_2, y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}$$

By analyzing the structure of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}) \sqcap \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$, we obtain the following edge partition with respect to the eccentricity of the end vertices of the edges.

First, calculate the sum A_1 over all vertices $x_1 \in \mathbb{Y}$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Y}} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\ &\leq \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Y}} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})})^2 + \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} (\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + 2r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\overline{m}_2 \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Y}} (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})})^2 + \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Y}} \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} (\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + |\mathbb{Y}| \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\overline{m}_2 \xi(\mathbb{Y}) + \overline{\xi}_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \tau(\mathbb{Y}) + |\mathbb{Y}| \overline{\xi}_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider A_2 which is taken over all vertices $y_1 \in \mathfrak{Vc}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathfrak{Vc}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\ &\leq \sum_{y_1 \in \mathfrak{Vc}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{Ed}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{(\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})^2 + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})}) + \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})}}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\overline{m}_2 \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi}_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + n_2 \overline{\xi}_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The third sum A_3 is taken over all vertices $x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)|\mathbb{Y}$ and unordered vertex pairs $\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_3 &= \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)|\mathbb{Y}} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\
 &\leq \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)|\mathbb{Y}} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})})^2 + \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})}(\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} = \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\binom{n_2}{2} (\xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \xi_1(\mathbb{Y})) + (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})) - \tau(\mathbb{Y}))(n_2 - 1)\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - |\mathbb{Y}|)(\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The final sum A_4 is taken over all unordered vertex pairs $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$ and $\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4 &= \sum_{\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \left[\frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} + \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \left[\frac{2\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + 2\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y})})(\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2(r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\binom{n_2}{2} (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}))^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}))) + \binom{n_1}{2} (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)^2 - \xi_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{Y}))\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By adding A_1 to A_4 and simplifying we get the required result. □

4.4. Composition

$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]$ is the composition of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ is a graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \times \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$. Two vertices (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are adjacent if and only if either $x_1 x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$ or $[x_1 = x_2 \in \mathfrak{V}\epsilon(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \text{ and } y_1 y_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)]$. The composition of two graphs is also known as their lexicographic product or wreath product.

The eccentricity of a vertex $(x_1, y_1) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]$ is given by

$$\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\epsilon_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \geq 2, \\ \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} & \text{if } \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The number of universal vertices of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is denoted by $C(\widetilde{\Lambda})$.

Theorem 4.4. *The inverse sum indeg eccentric coindex of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]$ is given by*

$$\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]) = \frac{\overline{m}}{2}(\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$$

Proof. By the definition of $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]) &= \sum_{(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2])} \frac{\epsilon_{(x_1,y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]} \epsilon_{(x_2,y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]}}{\epsilon_{(x_1,y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]} + \epsilon_{(x_2,y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]}} \\ &= \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \binom{2(2)}{2+2} + \sum_{\substack{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \\ \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq 2}} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1})^2}{2\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{y_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}} + 2 \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{\{y_1 y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} \\ &= \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{\substack{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \\ \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq 2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{2} \right) + \left(n_2 + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \right) \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}} \\ &= \overline{m}_2 C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + \frac{\overline{m}_2}{2} (\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) - C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)) + \left(n_2 + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \right) \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \\ &= \overline{m}_2 C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + \frac{\overline{m}}{2} C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \\ &= \frac{\overline{m}}{2} \left(\tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + C(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \right) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following Corollary we obtain by Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. *If $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ has no universal vertices, then $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1[\widetilde{\Lambda}_2]) = \frac{\overline{m}}{2} \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$.*

4.5. Strong Product

The strong product of two graphs $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ is a graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) = \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \boxtimes \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$. Two vertices (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are adjacent in the strong product if $x_1 = x_2$ and $x_1 y_2 \in E$.

Theorem 4.6. *If $r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$, then $\overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) = \frac{\overline{m}_2}{2} \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2\overline{m}_2 \xi_{ISI}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$.*

Proof. Based on the condition $r_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$ for every vertex $x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2), y_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2), \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \geq \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$, we have

$$\epsilon_{(x_1,y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) &= \sum_{(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\epsilon_{(x_1,y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{(x_2,y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{\epsilon_{(x_1,y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{(x_2,y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} \\ &= \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1})^2}{2\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}} + \sum_{y_1 \in \mathfrak{V}e(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{x_1 x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} + 2 \sum_{x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}d(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\overline{m_2}}{2} \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2\overline{m_2} \xi_{ISI}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \\
 &= \frac{\overline{m_2}}{2} \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2\overline{m_2} \xi_{ISI}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_2^2 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.7. *The inverse sum indeg coindex of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \geq & \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left(\left[\overline{m_2} E_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + n_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right] \right. \\
 & + \left[\overline{m_1} E_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \right] \\
 & + \left[2\overline{m_2} \xi_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2m_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \xi_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right] \\
 & \left. + \left[2 \binom{n_2}{2} \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2 \overline{m_1} (\xi_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) + \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) (\xi_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)) \right] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality in Equation (4.2) holds if and only if $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ are $s - c$ and $\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$.

Proof. The eccentricity of a vertex $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$ is

$$\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = \max\{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}, \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\}.$$

We know that for any real numbers a, b , we have $\max\{a, b\} \geq \frac{a+b}{2}$ with equality if and only if $a = b$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\xi_{ISI}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2) &= \sum_{(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \epsilon_{(x_2, y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{\epsilon_{(x_1, y_1)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{(x_2, y_2)}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2}} \\
 &= \sum_{(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\max\{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}, \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\} \max\{\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}, \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\}}{\max\{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}, \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\} + \max\{\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}, \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\}} \\
 &\geq \sum_{(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{\left(\frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}{2}\right)} \\
 &= \sum_{(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{4(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \tag{4.2} \\
 &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $A_1 =$ The sum of Equation (4.2) which is taken overall vertices $x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

$A_2 =$ The sum of Equation (4.2) which is taken overall vertices $y_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $x_1 x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$.

$A_3 =$ The sum of Equation (4.2) which is taken overall edges $x_1 x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $y_1 y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

and

A_4 = The sum of Equation (4.2) which in taken overall $n - a$ vertices pairs $x_1x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)$ and $n - a$ vertex pairs $x_1x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$ and unordered pairs of vertices $\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$.

Now we shall obtain the sums A_1 to A_4 , separately.

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{y_1y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{2\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + (\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \sum_{x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{y_1y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \left[(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1})^2 + (\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\overline{m_2} E_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + n_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{y_1 \notin \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{x_1x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + 2\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}}$$

By symmetry of the sum A_1 , we get

$$A_2 \geq \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[\overline{m_1} E_1(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \tau(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + n_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \right].$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x_1x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{y_1y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \left[\frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} + \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \sum_{x_1x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \sum_{y_1y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \left[2\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + 2\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}\epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1})(\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[2\overline{m_2} \xi_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2m_1 \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \xi_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x_1x_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1)} \sum_{\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2)} \frac{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})}{(\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}) + (\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8(\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} + \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2})} \left[2 \binom{n_2}{2} \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1) + 2 \overline{m_1} \left(\xi_{M_2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi_{M_2}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right) + \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \left(\xi_{M_1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) + \overline{\xi_{M_1}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By adding the sums A_1 to A_4 , we get the inequalities which is our required result. The equality holds in (4.2) if and only if for each edge $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \boxtimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_2)$, $\epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}$ and $\epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$. If $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ are $s - c$ graph and $\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$, then the equality in (4.2) holds trivially.

Let the equality in (4.2) holds. Then for each $x_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1), y_1y_2 \notin \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2), \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = \epsilon_{y_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$ and for each vertex $y_1 \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{e}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_2), x_1x_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{d}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1), \epsilon_{y_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2} = \epsilon_{x_1}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \epsilon_{x_2}^{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}$. This gives that both $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ are $s - c$ graphs and $\rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} = \rho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2}$. \square

References

- [1] A. R. Ashra, T. Doslic, and A. Hamzeh, “The Zagreb coindices of graph operations,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 158, pp. 1571–1578, 2010. 1
- [2] A. R. Ashra, T. Doslic, and A. Hamzeh, “Extremal graphs with respect to the Zagreb coindices,” *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 65, pp. 85–92, 2011. 1

- [3] M. Azari, "Further results on non-self-centrality measures of graphs," *Filomat*, vol. 32, no. 14, pp. 5137–5148, 2018. 1
- [4] M. Azari, "Eccentric connectivity coindex under graph operations," *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 62, pp. 23–35, 2020. 1
- [5] M. Azari, A. Iranmanesh, and M. V. Diudea, "Vertex-eccentricity descriptors in dendrimers," *Studia Univ. Babe?-Bolyai Chem.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 129–142, 2017. 1
- [6] I. Gutman and K. C. Das, "The first Zagreb index 30 years after," *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 50, pp. 83–92, 2004. 1
- [7] K. C. Das and I. Gutman, "Some properties of the second Zagreb index," *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 52, pp. 103–112, 2004. 1
- [8] T. Doslic, "Vertex weighted Wiener polynomials for composite graphs," *Ars Math. Contemp.*, vol. 1, pp. 66–80, 2008. 1
- [9] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, and M. Dehmer, "On structure-sensitivity of degree-based topological indices," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 219, pp. 8973–8978, 2013. 1
- [10] I. Gutman, "On the origin of two degree-based topological indices," *Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts (Cl. Sci. Math. Natur.)*, vol. 146, pp. 39–52, 2014. 1
- [11] I. Gutman, B. Ruscic, N. Trinajstic, and C. F. Wilcox, "Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes," *J. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 62, pp. 3399–3405, 1970. 1
- [12] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstic, "Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons," *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 17, pp. 535–538, 1972. 1
- [13] I. Gutman, "Degree-based topological indices," *Croat. Chem. Acta*, vol. 86, pp. 351–361, 2013. 1
- [14] I. Gutman and J. Tosovic, "Testing the quality of molecular structure descriptors: Vertex degree-based topological indices," *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 78, pp. 805–810, 2013. 1
- [15] F. Hayat, "The minimum second Zagreb eccentricity index of graphs with parameters," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 285, pp. 307–316, 2020. 1
- [16] F. Harary, "Status and contrastatus," *Sociometry*, vol. 22, pp. 23–43, 1959. 1
- [17] S. Hossein Zadeh, A. Hamzeh, and A. R. Ashra, "Extremal properties of Zagreb coindices and degree distance of graphs," *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 11, pp. 129–138, 2010. 1
- [18] H. Hua, A. Ashra, and L. Zhang, "More on Zagreb coindices of graphs," *Filomat*, vol. 26, pp. 1210–1220, 2012. 1
- [19] H. Hua and S. Zhang, "Relations between Zagreb coindices and some distance-based topological indices," *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, vol. 68, pp. 199–208, 2012. 1
- [20] H. Hua and Z. Miao, "The total eccentricity sum of non-adjacent vertex pairs in graphs," *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 947–963, 2019. 1, 1
- [21] A. Ilic, G. Yu, and L. Feng, "On the eccentric distance sum of graphs," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 381, pp. 590–600, 2011. 2.2
- [22] M. Azari, "On eccentricity version of Zagreb coindices," *Mathematics Interdisciplinary Research*, vol. 6, pp. 107–120, 2021. 1
- [23] S. Nikolic, G. Kovacevic, A. Milicevic, and N. Trinajstic, "The Zagreb indices 30 years after," *Croat. Chem. Acta*, vol. 76, pp. 113–124, 2003. 1
- [24] K. Pattabiraman, "Inverse sum indeg index of graphs," *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Combin.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 155–167, 2018.
- [25] K. Pattabiraman, "Bounds on inverse sum indeg index of subdivision graphs," *Serdica J. Comput.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 281–298, 2018.
- [26] H. S. Ramane and A. S. Yalnaik, "Status connectivity indices of graphs and its applications to the boiling point of benzenoid hydrocarbons," *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 55, pp. 609–627, 2017. 1
- [27] H. S. Ramane, A. S. Yalnaik, and R. Sharafadini, "Status connectivity indices and co-indices of graphs and its computation to some distance-based graphs," *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Combin.*, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2018.09.002>. 1
- [28] V. Sharma, R. Goswami, and A. K. Madan, "Eccentric connectivity index: A novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure-property and structure-activity studies," *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 37, pp. 273–282, 1997. 1
- [29] D. Vukicevic and A. Graovac, "Note on the comparison of the first and second normalized Zagreb eccentricity indices," *Acta Chim. Slov.*, vol. 57, pp. 524–538, 2010. 1
- [30] K. Xu, K. C. Das, and A. D. Maden, "On a novel eccentricity-based invariant of a graph," *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1477–1493, 2016. 1
- [31] Y. Li, L. Yan, M. K. Jamil, M. R. Farahani, W. Gao, and J.-B. Liu, "Four new/old vertex-degree-based topological indices of $HAC_5C_7[p, q]$ and $HAC_5C_6C_7[p, q]$ nanotubes," *J. Comput. Theor. Nanoscience*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 796–799, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1166/jctn.2017.6275>. 1
- [32] X. Zhang, H. G. G. Reddy, A. Usha, M. C. Shanmukha, M. R. Farahani, and M. Alaeiyan, "A study on anti-malaria drugs using degree-based topological indices through QSPR analysis," *Math. Biosci. Eng.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 3594–3609, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2023167>.
- [33] D. Afzal, F. Afzal, M. R. Farahani, and S. Ali, "On computation of recently defined degree-based topological indices of some families of convex polytopes via M-polynomial," *Complexity*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5881476>. 1
- [34] D. Afzal, S. Ali, F. Afzal, M. Cancan, S. Ediz, and M. R. Farahani, "A study of newly defined degree-based topological indices via M-polynomial of Jahangir graph," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 427–438, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2021.1882159>. 1